

SUBJECT No. 238.

Definition of the term "Land Revenue" in the rules relating to the Representative Assembly.

Mr. Karnick Krishnamurti Rao,
Magadi taluk.

SUBJECT No. 239.

Reduction of property qualification for inamdars.

Mr. Karnick Krishnamurti Rao,
Magadi taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The term "Land Revenue" as used in the election rules for the constitution of the Assembly may be considered to include assessment on minor service inams.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

Summary of discussion.—Under the revised rules for the constitution of the Representative Assembly, a tenant of an inamdar is qualified to become a member by paying less *kandayam* than the inamdar himself. The property qualification of an inamdar may be reduced from Rs. 500 to Rs. 300 and the compulsory residence in the taluks in which he owns inam villages may be done away with.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 240.

Certain privileges to the Representative Assembly.

Mr. Mahomed Abbas Khan, Central
Mohammedan Association, Bangalore.

Summary of discussion.—The mover of a resolution in the Representative Assembly may be given the power of requesting the Government to take votes of the members on any particular subject if the mover so desires.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 241.

Constituting the Bangalore and Mysore cities as separate electorates for the purpose of electing members to the Representative Assembly.

Mr. Karnick Krishnamurti Rao,
Magadi taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Persons paying mohatarfa in the Regulation Municipalities other than Mysore and Bangalore can also vote and stand for election in taluk elections in addition to their being eligible to vote for or be returned as members of the Representative Assembly. But, the qualified residents of the two cities could not stand or vote separately for election as members to the Representative Assembly from Mysore and Bangalore taluks. The residents of these two cities may each form a separate electorate for electing members to the Assembly instead of the power of election being vested in these two Municipal Councils.

Reply of Government.—Will be considered.

SUBJECT No. 242.

Removal of the restriction placed on the number of subjects to be brought forward for discussion in the Assembly.

Messrs. (a) S. R. Balakrishna Rao,
Shimoga taluk, Mir Mahomed
Sab, Tarikere taluk, and Sura-
sastry, Chikballapur taluk, and
(b) T. M. Siddappa, Mysore
taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(a) The restriction placed on the number of subjects to be brought forward before the Assembly may be removed, as this has prevented many subjects of importance being brought to notice in time.

(b) Mysore and Bangalore are two most important districts in the State. About 53 members from Mysore and 48 from Bangalore are returned to the Assembly. It is ordered that not more than 75 subjects, including General and Local, should be brought before the meeting for discussion. By this arrangement a member will not be able to bring forward even two subjects though there are many subjects to be represented in his district. The number of subjects may be increased from 75 to 150.

Reply of Government.—Rules were revised only recently. Let the experiment be tried for a couple of years.